

International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series
 © World Scientific Publishing Company

HIGHEST ENERGY PARTICLE PHYSICS WITH THE PIERRE AUGER OBSERVATORY

ANALISA MARIAZZI

*IFLP, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata(1900), Argentina
 mariazzi@fisica.unlp.edu.ar*

for the Pierre Auger Collaboration

Observatorio Pierre Auger, Av. San Martin Norte 304, 5613 Malargüe, Argentina
 auger_spokespersons@fnal.gov*

Received 1 11 2013

Revised 5 11 2013

Astroparticles offer a new path for research in the field of particle physics, allowing investigations at energies above those accessible with accelerators. Ultra-high energy cosmic rays can be studied via the observation of the showers they generate in the atmosphere. The Pierre Auger Observatory is a hybrid detector for ultra-high energy cosmic rays, combining two complementary measurement techniques used by previous experiments, to get the best possible measurements of these air showers. Shower observations enable one to not only estimate the energy, direction and most probable mass of the primary cosmic particles but also to obtain some information about the properties of their hadronic interactions. Results that are most relevant in the context of determining hadronic interaction characteristics at ultra-high energies will be presented.

Keywords: Cosmic rays, Extensive Air Showers, Hadronic interactions

PACS numbers: 96.50.S- 96.50.sd 96.50.sb

1. Introduction

Cosmic rays from astrophysical sources provide a natural beam of ultra high energy particles that can be used to probe particle interactions at the highest energies.

The interpretation of cosmic ray measurements requires modeling of hadronic interactions in an energy range beyond that which can be studied in accelerator experiments. The knowledge of the relevant properties of hadronic interactions in this energy range is therefore of central importance for the interpretation of the cosmic ray data. Nevertheless, it is in principle possible to obtain information about hadronic interactions from the cosmic ray observations, but dealing with the fact that this natural cosmic ray beam has an unknown energy spectrum and an unknown

*Full author list: http://www.auger.org/archive/authors_2013_05.html

2 Analisa Mariazzi for the Pierre Auger Collaboration

mass composition. The mass composition of the primary particles must be estimated from the same data set. Solving the ambiguity between composition and hadronic interaction modeling is a key problem for ultra-high energy cosmic ray observations.

The Pierre Auger Observatory, the world's largest cosmic ray observatory, is located near Malargüe, in the Province of Mendoza, Argentina. It was designed to investigate the origin and the nature of ultra-high energy cosmic rays by taking advantage of two available techniques to detect extensive air showers initiated by ultra-high energy cosmic rays: a surface detector (SD) array and a fluorescence detector (FD). The SD consists of an array of about 1600 water-Cherenkov surface detectors deployed over a triangular grid of 1.5 km spacing and covering an area of 3000 km². The SD is overlooked by 27 fluorescence telescopes, grouped in four sites, making up the fluorescence detector. The FD observes the longitudinal development of the shower in the atmosphere by detecting the fluorescence light emitted by excited nitrogen molecules and Cherenkov light induced by shower particles in air. The FD provides a calorimetric measurement of the primary particle energy.

These two detection methods are complementary, so that combining them in hybrid mode will help resolve mass composition and hadronic interaction information.

2. Proton-air cross section from air showers

The tail of the X_{max} (depth at which the shower reaches its maximum size) distributions, is shown to be highly sensitive to cross section. Considering only the most deeply penetrating air showers enhances the proportion of protons in a sample as the average depth of shower maximum is higher in the atmosphere for heavier primaries. An unbiased sample of deep X_{max} events in the energy interval between 10^{18} to $10^{18.5}$ eV was used to measure the cross section. In Fig. 1 (left) the result of an unbinned maximum likelihood fit of an exponential function to the the tail of the X_{max} distribution is shown. To properly account for shower fluctuations and detector effects, the exponential tail is compared to Monte Carlo predictions. Any disagreement between data and predictions can then be attributed to a modified




Fig. 1. (left)-Fit to the tail of the X_{max} distribution. (right)- Resulting inelastic proton-air cross-section compared to other measurements and model predictions.

value of the proton-air cross section¹. The result, after averaging the values of the cross section for different hadronic interaction models, yields $\sigma_{p\text{ air}} = 505 (\pm 22 \text{ stat}) (+20 - 15 \text{ syst})$ mb at a center-of-mass energy of 57 ± 6 TeV. The systematic uncertainty is dominated by mass composition (mainly the Helium fraction) and hadronic interaction models. The result, shown in Fig. 1 (right), favors a moderately slow rise of the cross-section towards higher energies, as observed at the LHC⁵.

3. Longitudinal profile and ground signal mismatch: muon content

Discrepancies were found in showers measured in hybrid mode, when measurements of the longitudinal shower profile were compared to the lateral particle distributions at ground level². For each shower, Monte Carlo simulated events with similar energies were generated selecting those matching the measured longitudinal profile. When the predicted lateral distributions of the signal are compared to the data




Fig. 2. (left)-Measured longitudinal profile of a typical air shower with two of its matching simulated air showers, for a proton and an iron primary, simulated using QGSJETII-04. (right)- The observed simulated ground signals for the same event.




Fig. 3. (left) The measured muon signal at 1000 m from the shower axis vs. zenith angle, with respect to QGSJETII-04 proton at 10^{19} eV as baseline, obtained by different methods. (right) Number of muons from inclined showers compared to model predictions, as a function of energy.

recorded by the SD, the Monte Carlo predictions are found to be systematically below the observed signals, regardless of the hadronic model being used, and for all composition mixes that fit the X_{max} distribution of the data sample (see Fig. 2).

Independent methods of extracting muon content from very inclined showers³ or relying on the different signal shape produced by muons in the water-Cherenkov detectors⁴, together with this analysis of hybrid events, indicate that there is a deficit of muons in the simulations when current hadronic interaction models are used, unless a pure iron primary composition is assumed. A pure iron composition would be in contradiction to the X_{max} data when interpreted using the same models, leading to the conclusion that shower models do not correctly describe the muonic ground signals. The muon content can be obtained from a SD signal time structure as muon signals stand above the smooth electromagnetic component. Results using the multivariate and smoothing methods are shown in Fig 3 (left). Above a zenith angle of 62° , muons dominate the recorded signals at ground level, as the electromagnetic component is absorbed in the atmosphere. A direct measurement of the muon content from very inclined showers is shown in Fig. 3 (right).

4. Conclusions

The Pierre Auger Observatory can be used to test the properties of hadronic interactions by comparing simulations with data for independent observables.

The proton-air inelastic cross section was extracted from measurements of the longitudinal development of air showers at a centre of mass energy per nucleon of 57 TeV, well above energies of accelerator experiments.

Deviations were found if the FD longitudinal profile and SD signals are compared in hybrid events. Independent methods using the SD indicate that this is due to a significant muon deficit in the predictions. A realistic treatment of the mass composition does not remove the muon discrepancy. Multiple methods reach the same conclusion: current hadronic interaction models do not accurately describe the muon signal.

References

1. Pierre Auger Collab.(P. Abreu *et al.*), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 062002 (2012)
2. G.Farrar for the Pierre Auger Collab.(P. Abreu *et al.*), The muon content of hybrid events recorded at the Pierre Auger Observatory, in *Proceedings of the 33rd International Cosmic Ray Conference*, arXiv:1307.5059, p. 44.
3. I. Valino for the Pierre Auger Collab.(P. Abreu *et al.*), A measurement of the muon number on showers using inclined events recorded at the Pierre Auger Observatory, in *Proceedings of the 33rd International Cosmic Ray Conference*, arXiv:1307.5059, p. 52.
4. B. Kegl for the Pierre Auger Collab.(P. Abreu *et al.*), Measurement of the muon signal using the temporal and spectral structure of the signals in surface detectors of the Pierre Auger Observatory, in *Proceedings of the 33rd International Cosmic Ray Conference*, arXiv:1307.5059, p. 48.
5. TOTEM Collab.(T. Csörgö *et al.*), *Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl.* **193**, 180 (2012).